

Date: Sat, 9 Jan 93 04:30:31 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #9
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sat, 9 Jan 93 Volume 93 : Issue 9

Today's Topics:

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 8 Jan 1993 23:29:10 GMT
From: qualcom.qualcomm.com!qualcom.qualcomm.com!kleing@network.UCSD.EDU
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Jan7.052814.461@ke4zv.uucp>, <83777@lib.tmc.edu>,
<1993Jan8.021432.8034@ke4zv.uucp>
Subject : Re: Closed repeaters

There's be a lot of talk in this thread about lawsuits. One
question: can anyone cite a legal precedent for such a lawsuit?
Has anyone ever filed prosecuted and won such a lawsuit?

Sure, you can sue anyone over anything, but has anyone
actually done it successfully. (I doubt it.)

Klein Gilhousen, WT6G
Qualcomm Incorporated

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #9
